# | F3AFGRAC

L	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	ESTATE OF RAMARLEY GRAHAM, et al,
5	Plaintiff,
5	v. 13 CV 2015 (PKC)
,	THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al,
	Defendant.
3	X
)	New York, N.Y. March 10, 2015 2:20 p.m.
	Before:
	HON. KEVIN P. CASTEL,
3	District Judge
Ŀ	APPEARANCES
5	ROYCE RUSSELL, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiff	Accorney for Plaintill
,	GREGORY LONGWORTH, ESQ.
	Attorney for Defendant
)	ZACHARY W. CARTER, Corporation Counsel for the City of New York
Attorney for Defendant QUIANA SMITH, ESQ.	
-	
2	
3	
:	
j l	

23

24

25

(Case called) 1 2 (In open court) 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Estate of Ramarley Graham, et al v. 4 City of New York, et al. MR. RUSSELL: Royce Russell representing Ramarley 5 6 Graham. Present here is Constance Malcolm, his mother, and 7 Fanclot Graham, his father. THE COURT: And for the defendant? 8 9 MR. LONGWORTH: Gregg Longworth, your Honor, for 10 Richard Haste. 11 MS. SMITH: Quiana Smith, Corporation Counsel, for 12 City defendants, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Excellent. This is an infant compromise 14 proceeding pursuant to Local Rule 83.2 which requires that the 15 Court follow the procedures used in state court to resolve a 16 matter, approve a settlement with an infant. And have you 17 prepared the affidavits under CPLR 1208? 18 MR. RUSSELL: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Do you have those? Can you hand those up, 20 please? 21 MR. RUSSELL: I have the affidavits. Your Honor, so 22

the record is clear, what I prepared for the Court is an affirmation on my behalf; affidavit on behalf of Constance Malcolm, who is the mother petitioner, as well as the Court has affirmation of reference to the estate and affidavit because

Constance Malcolm is the administratrix as to the estate and the Court also has an order of compromise.

Your Honor, I've seen the documents and reviewed the documents a thousand and one times. However, you'll see the caption still reads up top Bronx Supreme Court, unfortunately, because it was removed, I don't know if the Court would rather have me replace it with a cover sheet or --

THE COURT: We can take care of that. Let me first look at the submissions.

(Pause)

Ramarley Graham by Constance Malcolm, I have the affidavit of Ms. Malcolm, it's duly notarized. It annexes an original copy of her certificate of appointment, recites the terms of the settlement and also the terms of the attorneys fee. There is a separate affidavit from Ms. Malcolm in her capacity as parent and guardian of the infant child who is nine years of age and the nature of the claim is described, the nature of the injuries suffered by the infant, the terms of the settlement, the reasons why the settlement was deemed to be prudent, the disbursements made by the law firm in the course of the litigation as well as the fee arrangement.

Annexed in addition to copies of bills for disbursements and the retainer agreement is a psychological report, because that was the nature of the injuries. The

little boy witnessed his brother's shooting. And, happily, it reports that the child is emotionally stable and does not require a further psychotherapy regime.

Then I have Mr. Russell's affirmation. As alleged in the complaint, Mr. Ramarley Graham was shot and killed on February 2, 2012 in his home by an officer of NYPD.

Mr. Russell describes the manner he went about investigating the case, the type of research, back research and investigation he made, how the settlement was reached with the assistance of Magistrate Judge Theodore Katz, and the Court expresses its thanks to Judge Katz. This is as a private mediator, is that correct?

MR. RUSSELL: Yes, that's correct.

THE COURT: Judge Katz, for the record, had been a magistrate judge with this court. He is fully retired and he is in the private practice of doing mediations. The significance of that is it gives the Court some confidence in terms of the arm's length nature of the negotiations, that they were conducted with the use of a neutral.

Now, Ms. Malcolm, your view, is this settlement a reasonable settlement from your standpoint?

MS. MALCOLM: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And is it reasonable from the standpoint of the estate?

MS. MALCOLM: Yes, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: And your son?
2	MS. MALCOLM: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the attorney's fees
4	that your attorney seeks in this matter?
5	MS. MALCOLM: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. And let me hear from
7	Mr. Graham? With regard to the infant's settlement, is that a
8	reasonable settlement in your view?
9	MR. GRAHAM: Yes, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: And are you comfortable with the
11	attorney's fees that have been sought in this matter?
12	MR. GRAHAM: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Russell, is there
14	anything further that you want to tell me about the settlement
15	process?
16	MR. RUSSELL: No, your Honor. I think the affirmation
17	in detail goes into what took place.
18	THE COURT: All right. And let me hear from
19	Mr. Longworth as to the arm's length nature of the
20	negotiations.
21	MR. LONGWORTH: To the best of my knowledge, your
22	Honor, we're satisfied with the arm's length negotiations in
23	this matter.
24	THE COURT: All right. And, Ms. Smith, anything you
	i de la companya de

wish to add about the negotiations of this matter or its arm's

length nature?

MS. SMITH: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Based upon my review the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate as to the claims of the estate of Ramarley Graham, as well as as to the claims of the infant child. Because of his age, he need not be present for this proceeding. His interests are well protected by Fanclot Graham and Constance Malcolm. What does the order provide with regard to how the monies will be held in the case of the infant?

MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, it will be held in trust

MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, it will be held in trust with an FDIC institution until the child reaches his 18th birthday.

THE COURT: And I see the institution is identified and the requirement is that it be deposited in an interest bearing trust account to be held by plaintiff's mother.

Let me speak to you about the nature of the account. There are things called Totten trusts and this should not be a Totten trust. There should be a trust agreement. A Totten trust, if you were to set up a Totten trust for your nephew in opening with your name in trust for your nephew, you could deposit and withdraw money, you could close the account any time you wanted, withdrawing a full balance and that is not in my view what's appropriate here. There should be a trust agreement and the trust agreement should provide expressly for

the terms under which principal may be invaded. And so what I'm going to do is I'm going to hold the papers on this and I'm going to ask you, would it be convenient to submit a trust agreement in seven days, 14 days? What would work for you?

MR. RUSSELL: I would just ask that it be 14 days because I would like to correspond with the institution. Maybe they have something that's in place. I would like to work with them.

THE COURT: That's fine. As a trial lawyer it's not necessarily in your area of expertise to know how to set up a trust, but what I need to have here — it may be that you can do this more efficiently with a Uniform Transfer to Minor Act account, which may be a simpler way to go than either a trust, and as I've said the so-called Totten trusts are not acceptable. So you'll have to look into that and get me the papers within 14 days and I think what would be appropriate is a revised order, so the order will reflect how the money is going to be held so we can make sure that all that money is there for that young man maybe to help him with education and other good things in life.

MR. RUSSELL: Very well, Judge.

THE COURT: I want to congratulate the attorneys in this case. The underlying facts are undoubtedly and are very sad and unfortunate and I realize as a fellow human being that money doesn't bring closure to all things that we experience in

life but it is in everyone's best interests that on these fair terms this chapter be closed.

So it's provisionally approved subject to the submission of the additional documentation which I've asked for and upon receiving that I will enter the proper orders.

You may and should hand correct the captions and file the papers on ECF and so it will be part of the record of this Court. If you wish you can redact the psychology report and I think that's likely the only thing. There is a rule, Rule, I think it's 5.2 on redactions. You can consult that rule. There may be other redactions that are appropriate, but the papers should be filed. Yes, it's 5.2.

Is there anything further from the plaintiffs?

MR. RUSSELL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further from the defendants?

MR. LONGWORTH: No, your Honor.

MS. SMITH: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: We are adjourned. Thank you.

(Adjourned)